OVERVIEW OF CONTENT REVISIONS POWER TO THE PROFESSION

DECISION CYCLES 345+6

DC 345 Public Draft 1	What The Field Said: A Summary	DC 345+6 Public Draft 2		
KEY RECOMMENDATIONS				
3 professional designations	Differentiate responsibilities	3 professional designations		
	 Designations seem vague and may not be clear to the public 	Strengthened distinctions and roles		
4 professional preparation programs	 Ensure quality assurance, competency alignment and higher ed capacity Differentiate the levels of preparation Include "freestanding" programs Include advanced preparation 	 4 professional preparation programs Linked to draft competencies Showed connections to Decision Cycles 7&8 Committed to exploring freestanding programs during implementation phase Strengthened distinctions and explained the lessened but intentional fragmentation Clarified focus on initial roles for now 		
Generalize before specialize	 Remove Montessori and Reggio as specializations Allow for programs to blend generalize and specialize preparation 	 Removed Montessori and Reggio as specializations Enhanced language to include blended programs 		
KEY COMMITMENTS				
Raise expectations for preparations across all settings and sectors	 Too status quo Too big of a lift from where we are Lack of a bold north star vision 	 Described status quo, attending to the difficult realities facing the field Added audacious vision Positioned DC 345+6 as the "bridge" between status quo and audacious vision Positioned DC 345+6 as the first-edition unifying framework 		
Informed by Transforming the Workforce Report	 Report recommended a bachelor's Report recommended pathways and timelines to a bachelor's Report said research on bachelor's was inconclusive 	 Reiterated value of bachelor's, vision of building towards a bachelor's for all, and the importance of associate & 120 hours (both/and) Strengthened distinction between bachelor's and associate 		
Commitment to comparable compensation	 Difficult to talk about qualifications without compensation Market cannot bear cost of recommendations 	 Added Decision Cycle 6 Defined comparable compensation Made the case for public funding as a necessity for P2P implementation and the reason for why P2P is structured as a profession-led initiative for definition, accountability, and compensation 		

OVERVIEW OF CONTENT REVISIONS POWER TO THE PROFESSION

DECISION CYCLES 345+6

DC 345 Public Draft 1	What The Field Said: A Summary	DC 345+6 Public Draft 2	
Commitment to diversity, equity and inclusion	Be more explicit about this commitment	Elevated and integrated diversity and equity	
	On't use deficit-based frame	Used strengths-based frame	
	Honor the existing workforce	Committed to grandfathering policies	
	 Recommendations will reduce the diversity of the profession 	and flexible approaches to measuring competencies during implementation phase	
P2P is about commitment to	Ommitment to children, particularly diverse children and families, is lost or too	 Began document with commitment to children 	
children	light	 Reinforced accountability to children and specifically diverse children and families 	
KEY PROCESSES			
P2P is led by the field	 Ensure diverse members of the field are engaged and informing the decisions Field needs more time 	Translated materials into Spanish	
		Extended framework timeline to Spring 2019	
Transparent (includes framing the contextual narrative to paint the full picture)	Content too dense and too qualitative	 Included more precise and accessible 	
	 Rationale for recommendations not clear or data informed 	language	
		O Included quantitative data	
		Preparing companion resources	

NOVEMBER 2018